

20 OCT 1977

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

25X FROM: []

Acting Chief, Real Estate and Construction
Division, OL

SUBJECT: DIA/CIA Collocation Study

1. On 19 October 1977, [] Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), called to advise that based on my recent request, and discussions he had yesterday with a Special Assistant to Admiral Murphy, he would be willing to provide additional information to add "depth" to information he previously contributed to the subject study but would not contribute to any "expansion" of the study. He indicated that DIA is waiting for the DCI to make the next move on the study. He added that the House Subcommittee on Military Construction would be adjourning on Friday, 21 October 1977, and that DIA would not have an opportunity to secure necessary design funds from the subcommittee as they had hoped. The committee will not reconvene until February or March of next year. The failure to obtain committee action prior to adjournment is, in his view, directly attributable to delays in approval of the subject study.

25X1

2. I outlined for [] the draft letter which had been prepared for the DCI's signature and stated that we did not know whether the letter had been signed and released as originally written. In the letter the DCI requests additional information in three areas:

25X1

a. Schedules for construction at Bolling Air Force Base,

b. Schedules for departure from present DIA buildings, and

c. More details of possible savings and benefits to be derived from collocation.

25X [] said that he was willing to participate in providing more information on points (a) and (b) since he viewed them as adding "depth" but not "expanding" the present study.

OL 7 4785

SUBJECT: DIA/CIA Collocation Study

He could not assist in this effort until sometime during the week of 25 October 1977 because he is presently engaged in preparing a "point paper" for DOD management assessing the impact of the project delay which results from the failure to obtain House Subcommittee approval prior to adjournment. Regarding point (c) he asked exactly what we had in mind, and I indicated that we hoped to have Agency specialists in functional areas such as communications, security, data processing, library services, etc. meet with their equivalents in DIA to assess to what extent personnel and fiscal savings would result from collocation or consolidation. He said that such a proposal was clearly an expansion of our basic study, and he would check with his management as to whether they would be willing to participate and advise, but that his recommendation to them would be to take no further action without written direction from the DCI and concurrence by DOD management. He also commented, speaking personally, that he felt that the DCI's actions in this matter had effectively killed DIA's chances for new construction, at least at this point in time, and that if this had been the DCI's purpose he had succeeded. He further indicated that since the House Subcommittee on Military Construction would be adjourning without action on DIA's request for funds, then the urgency of the study had disappeared and it really did not matter as to how soon the additional information requested by the DCI was obtained.

3. In a subsequent phone call [] confirmed that he would not assist in "expanding" the study as we suggested until correspondence was received from the DCI and approved by DOD management. His refusal was concurred by [] DIA Comptroller, who is [] superior. 25X1

25X1

25X1

cc: D/L

Distribution:

Orig. - OL/RECD Official
1 - OL/RECD Chrono
1 - OL Files

OL/RECD/[] (20 Oct 77)


DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Executive Registry

11-2268/112
DDH 17-5610

19 OCT 1977

25X1

Heaven - I
expected 
to have done it
by now - not
with the Col. &
by 11/7
Let's go or we'll
lose out for sure

OL 7 4831

Executive Registry
NOV 12 1968

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

The Honorable Charles W. Duncan, Jr.
Deputy Secretary of Defense
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Charles,

I have reviewed the CIA/DIA building collocation study prepared by your [] and our [] and am not satisfied that the study has covered all of the pertinent issues. I believe that before I can come to a valid conclusion on feasibility of collocation, more information should be presented regarding schedules and costs for the construction proposal at Bolling Air Force Base, schedules for mandatory departure from present DIA buildings, and more details on possible savings and benefits to be derived from collocated, mutual functions at Langley.

25X1

To this end I would like to have [] regroup with [] and delve more deeply into specifics. I would expect that this more detailed analysis could be available for our review by 7 November. [] is prepared to renew the work immediately.

25X1

Yours,

STANSFIELD TURNER

3-62

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS



SECRET



CONFIDENTIAL

INTERNAL
USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED